VOLUME 6 | ISSUE 2

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED LEGAL RESEARCH

REGULATORY PATHWAYS TO ENHANCE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

- Zeal Shah[1] & Dr. Ankita P. Upadhyay[2]

ABSTRACT

In the 21st century, the management of solid waste has become a pressing issue in environmental and public health management. The combined impacts of urbanization, industrialization, and growth in consumption have led to a significant increase in the scale and complexity of solid waste. Traditional approaches, which heavily rely on landfill for managing solid waste, have become unsustainable and detrimental to the environment. This article focuses on regulatory strategies to improve solid waste management (SWM) systems, drawing on international legal frameworks and practices, comparative practices, and regulatory experience in India, as an example. The paper will also talk about extended producer responsibility (EPR), decentralized approaches, financial instruments, and the use of technology. The article argues that effective SWM systems require legislation, but also enforcement, institutional capacity, and community involvement to be effective. The article concludes with a discussion of the gaps in the current regulatory frameworks and recommendations to reform solid waste regulations along the lines of the circular economy and sustainable development principles.

INTRODUCTION

Increasingly, solid waste management is being addressed as an issue of law and governance, rather than a merely technical one. “"The World Bank forecasts total global generation of municipal solid waste will grow from 2.24 billion tonnes in 2020 to 3.40 billion tonnes by 2050, with the highest increases projected in low- and middle-income countries”.[3] Unless managed effectively, this growth poses serious risks to public health, environmental quality, and climate stability.

[1] Research Scholar at Shri Govind Guru University
[2] Research Guide at Shri Govind Guru University
[3]“World Bank, *What a Waste 2.0: A Global Snapshot of Solid Waste Management to 2050* (2018).”

"In India, urban areas generate over 160,000 tonnes of waste every day, but less than 25 percent of total waste is processed in accordance with scientific norms".[4] The gap between waste generation and waste processing underscores the need for effective regulatory paths. Public authorities and private actors need robust rules to shape the incentives, obligations, and accountability systems. Even technically advanced waste systems cannot be effective without coherent laws and enforcement.

This paper investigates regulatory pathways for improved SWM. First, the paper surveys global principles and comparative systems; next, it presents an analysis of India's regulatory landscape; afterward, it considers innovative approaches, including EPR, market-based tools, and participatory paths.

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES ON REGULATORY PATHWAYS

1. International Principles and Conventions

International legal frameworks have served an important role in influencing national waste laws with respect to managing waste at the international level. "The Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (1989) established guidelines for the international movement of hazardous waste and established the premise of requiring prior informed consent before the possibility of dumping that waste in a developing country"[5]. The Convention is also emblematic of the principle of minimising waste at source.

"The Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (2001), which came into force just after the Basel Convention, requires States to reduce or eliminate toxic pollutants that often contaminate waste streams as well"[6]. "The Bamako Convention (1991), a regional treaty for countries in Africa, called for the total prohibition of importing and exporting hazardous wastes."[7]

[4]"Central Pollution Control Board, *Annual Report on Solid Waste Management* (2022)."
[5]"Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal (adopted 22 March 1989, entered into force 5 May 1992) 1673 UNTS 57."
[6]"Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (adopted 22 May 2001, entered into force 17 May 2004) 2256 UNTS 119."
[7]"Bamako Convention on the Ban of the Import into Africa and the Control of Transboundary Movement and Management of Hazardous Wastes within Africa (1991)."

The principles contained in these international regulations are consistent with broader principles contained in environmental law: the polluter pays principle states that the "polluter should be liable for the costs of the management of the waste they produced, among other contextual contingencies, and the precautionary principle encourages governments to adopt anticipatory regulation in the absence of science."[8]

2. Comparative Legal Models

- "The European Union (EU) has taken a global lead in SWM legislation. The Waste Framework Directive 2008/98/EC provides a formally binding waste hierarchy, prioritizing prevention, reuse, recycling, recovery, and disposal in that order"[9]. Member states must implement waste management plans and EPR responsibilities allocated to sectors such as packaging, electronics, and batteries.
- "In the United States, waste governance is shaped by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA)"[10], which provides a federal framework but grants operational choices to states. The result has been wide differences in waste management practices across the United States.
- Japan is an example of strong EPR. "The Containers and Packaging Recycling Law 1995 require manufacturers and retailers to collect and recycle materials, along with cultural pressures, to organize household segregation of waste."[11]

Comparative experiences suggest that coherent legislation, strong enforcement, and some economic integration incentives are characteristic of positive regulatory pathways.

THE INDIAN LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

1. Legal Framework

Waste governance framework in India is founded on the "Environment (Protection) Act of 1986, which gives the central government authority to issue regulations for the protection of the environment."[12]

[8] "UN, *Rio Declaration on Environment and Development* (1992)."
[9] "Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on Waste [2008] OJ L312/3."
[10] "Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 1976, 42 USC §6901."
[11] "Containers and Packaging Recycling Law (Japan) 1995."
[12] "Environment (Protection) Act 1986 (India)."

The Municipal Solid Waste (Management and Handling) Rules 2000 were the first comprehensive rules governing urban waste, but their enforcement was poor. As a result, the Solid Waste Management Rules in 2016 were introduced, which resulted in significant reforms to waste governance in India. These rules apply to urban as well as rural waste, require waste to be sorted into separate streams at the point of waste generation, require bulk waste generators to process waste on site or arrange the waste to be processed, and introduce EPR responsibility for producers and brand owners.[13]

There are also specific rules for specific waste streams. The "Plastic Waste Management Rules 2016 (amended 2021) introduced bans on single-use plastics and EPR binding obligations on brand owners to ensure the plastics are effectively processed or collected for recovery."[14]The E-"Waste Management Rules 2016 govern waste associated with electric appliances, and the Battery Waste Management Rules 2022 set out the provisions for the environmentally sound disposal of used batteries."[15]

2. Judicial Interventions

Judicial activism has bolstered regulatory routes in India. The Supreme Court characterized the conditions of urban waste management as a "matter of serious concern" in "Almitra H Patel v Union of India and directed municipalities to adopt scientific methods of disposal".[16] Later, the "National Green Tribunal (NGT) imposed fines and compensation on municipal bodies for failing to comply with established rules of SWM."[17]Both serve as examples of how judicial enforcement can serve as a regulatory tool.

3. Institutional Challenges

In spite of progressive rules, implementation is always weak. "Municipal bodies (urban local bodies or ULBs) are primarily the implementing agencies, but they are almost always faced with

[13]"Solid Waste Management Rules 2016 (India)."
[14]"Plastic Waste Management Rules 2016, amended 2021 (India)."
[15]"Battery Waste Management Rules 2022 (India)."
[16]"*Almitra H Patel v Union of India* (2000) 2 SCC 679."
[17]"*Almitra H Patel v Union of India* OA No 199/2014 (NGT, 2016)."

financial, technical, and labour shortages."[18] The fragmentation of responsibility between central, state, and municipal bodies also aggravates the enforcement issue.

REGULATORY PATHWAYS TO PROMOTE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

1. Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR)

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) is a regulatory mechanism that transfers responsibility for post-consumer waste from municipalities to producers. In India, "EPR frameworks are included in the Plastic Waste, E-Waste, and Battery Rules"[19]. However, compliance with EPR regulations has been inconsistent and usually confined to paper-based reporting. Opportunities to strengthen EPR include digital traceability, third-party audits, and penalties for non-compliance.

2. Decentralised Waste Management

Centralised landfill models are becoming increasingly unsustainable due to land constraints and environmental hazards. "The Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016, mandate bulk generators, e.g., hotels, institutions, and residential complexes, to process waste at source"[20]. Decentralising waste management serves to reduce transportation costs, lessen reliance on landfill, and support community engagement.

"Waste-pickers cooperatives in Pune provide an effective case study of how to rely on informal workers in decentralised systems"[21]. Similarly, community composting centers in Bengaluru demonstrate the effectiveness of small-scale, decentralized waste processing.

3. Market-Based Instruments

Economic instruments can provide opportunities to complement the legal requirements:

- User charges for waste collection are required to enable service sustainability:

[18]CPCB (n 2).
[19]"Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC), *E-Waste Management Rules* (2016)."
[20]"SWM Rules 2016, r 4(7)."
[21]"Chintan Environmental Research and Action Group, *Informal Recycling in India* (2019)."

- Subsidies and taxation encourage greater investment in recycling and waste-to-energy plants.
- Tradable permits for recyclables can be experimented with, based on the model of carbon trading.[22]

Market instruments direct the costs of waste generation, aligning economic motives and ethics with the environmental goals.

4. Technological Integration

Smart technology offers means to achieve efficiency and accountability. "The GPS tracking of collection vehicles can decrease leakage; IoT-enabled bins can enhance collection efficiencies; digital platforms can consolidate EPR for consumers".[23] On the other hand, regulatory standards and capacity-building are necessary to ensure that technology adoption will be equitable.

5. Public Participation

Public participation is fundamentally important for regulatory success. The SWM Rules 2016 call for the need to segregate waste at the household level, while this may require a behaviour change. "Outreaches such as the Swachh Bharat Mission have demonstrated the potential of citizen engagement".[24] Citizens can also use "legal mechanisms (i.e., public interest litigation) established under Articles 32 and 226 of the Constitution to enforce compliance."[25]

6. Enforcement and Compliance

A strong law requires strong enforcement. "The main avenues to accomplish this are through independent monitoring bodies, punishment for violations that are severe enough to deter violations, and auditing by state pollution control boards".[26] In the case of judicial interventions, the Supreme Court and NGT provide a useful example of regulatory oversight to ensure compliance.

CHALLENGES IN THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

[22]"OECD, *Economic Instruments for Waste Management* (2016)."
[23]"NITI Aayog, *Waste-Wise Cities: Best Practices in Solid Waste Management* (2021)."
[24]"Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, *Swachh Bharat Mission Guidelines* (2017)."
[25]"Constitution of India 1950, arts 32 and 226."
[26]"*Municipal Corporation of Delhi v Association of Victims of Uphaar Tragedy* (2011) 14 SCC 481."

However, despite progress, significant challenges remain:

- Institutional Weakness: There are resource and capacity limits faced by ULBs.
- Informal Sector Exclusion: Waste pickers who perform significant recycling activities have no legal recognition.[27]
- Financial Constraints: Insufficient investments in infrastructure.
- Regulatory Fragmentation: Overlapping regulations at the central and state levels confuse.
- Public Pushback: Landfill siting and incineration plans often met with opposition based on environmental and human health concerns (the NIMBY syndrome).

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Integrate the Informal Sector: Legally recognize and protect the rights of waste pickers, as well as provide training.
- Strengthen EPR: Require reporting requirements for producers, including digital traceability and penalties for non-compliance.
- Capacity Building: Develop SWM training programmes for municipal officials and provide incentives for private partnerships.
- Legal Harmonization: Reduce overlaps in state and central regulations.
- Circular Economy Approach: Waste regulations should be aligned with industrial and trade policy to support reuse and recycling.
- Ongoing Judicial Jurisdiction: NGT should establish an institutional approach to ongoing monitoring.

CONCLUSION

Solid waste management presents a significant environmental governance challenge today. The comparative experiences noted indicate that regulatory pathways founded on the principles of prevention, producer responsibility, and participation are fundamental to sustainable waste governance. India's regulatory framework has evolved meaningfully, especially with the SWM

[27]"International Labour Organization, *Waste Pickers in India: The Missing Link in Circular Economy* (2020)."

Rules 2016, and with possibly unintended yet important judicial interventions; however, systemic problems remain with respect to enforcement, financing, and institutional coordination

Future regulatory reforms should focus on decentralisation, effective EPR systems, market-driven mechanisms, and assuring governance that is participatory and engaged. By linking waste management to the circular economy and Sustainable Development Agenda as a greater cause, regulatory systems can upend the understanding of waste from an environmental burden to a resource. In the end, the success of waste diversion and sustainability rests on a confluence of good laws, good institutions, and public engagement.