
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED LEGAL RESEARCH

**THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN BIOPIRACY AND BIOPROSPECTING:
IMPLICATIONS FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND
BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION**- Anuj Dhar¹**ABSTRACT**

This paper investigates the interconnected challenges and implications of biopiracy and bioprospecting within the contexts of sustainable development and biodiversity conservation. Focusing on the unauthorized exploitation of genetic resources and traditional knowledge from indigenous communities by corporate entities, this study highlights the pivotal role that traditional knowledge plays in the livelihoods and cultural heritage of these communities. Through detailed case studies, including the controversial patenting incidents involving neem and turmeric, the paper discusses the ramifications of such practices on biodiversity and indigenous rights. It examines the existing legal frameworks aimed at protecting these resources, notably the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and the Nagoya Protocol, evaluating their effectiveness and the gaps in enforcement that persist. This analysis is framed within the broader discourse on the ethical dimensions of bioprospecting, the equitable sharing of benefits, and the urgent need for enhanced legal protections to safeguard both the environment and the rights of indigenous populations. The findings underscore the complexity of navigating between the potential benefits of bioprospecting for medical and technological advancements and the risks of biopiracy, advocating for a balanced approach that promotes ethical research practices and fair benefit-sharing mechanisms.

A. Biopiracy of Traditional Knowledge**I. Introduction**

The practice of biopiracy involves the theft and unauthorized use of genetic resources and traditional knowledge that belong to indigenous communities and developing countries by biotech companies and other entities. This issue has gained attention in recent years as it has resulted in the

¹ Student at Amity Law School, Noida

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

exploitation of natural resources and the violation of human rights. Traditional knowledge is a valuable asset to these communities and must be protected. This paper aims to explore the issue of biopiracy of traditional knowledge, examining the importance of traditional knowledge, its vulnerability to biopiracy, the legal frameworks in place to protect traditional knowledge, and the limitations and challenges in addressing biopiracy.

II. Traditional Knowledge and Biopiracy

Definition of Traditional Knowledge

Traditional knowledge is a form of knowledge that is passed down from generation to generation through oral and experiential means. This knowledge is often linked to the natural environment and includes practices, customs, and beliefs that are specific to a particular culture or community. Traditional knowledge is a vital resource for these communities as it is often used for medicinal purposes, agricultural practices, and other aspects of daily life.

Examples of Traditional Knowledge

Traditional knowledge is diverse and varied, reflecting the cultural and ecological contexts in which it is practiced. For example, in India, the neem tree is a key part of traditional knowledge, as it has a range of medicinal properties and is used in the treatment of various ailments. Similarly, in South Africa, the Hoodia plant is traditionally used as an appetite suppressant. Turmeric, which is widely used in Indian cuisine, is also a significant part of traditional knowledge due to its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties.

Importance of Traditional Knowledge

Traditional knowledge plays a crucial role in sustaining the livelihoods of indigenous and local communities. It provides them with a means of adapting to their environment, making use of available resources, and preserving their cultural heritage. It is also a significant source of innovation, as many scientific breakthroughs have been made through the study of traditional knowledge.

Biopiracy and its Effects on Traditional Knowledge

Biopiracy involves the unauthorized use of genetic resources and traditional knowledge by external entities, often with the intention of commercial gain. This practice has become widespread in recent years, with companies exploiting the natural resources of developing countries without

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

compensating the indigenous communities that have traditionally used and conserved them. This has resulted in the erosion of traditional knowledge and the exploitation of natural resources, leaving these communities without the means to sustain their livelihoods.

III. Case Studies on Biopiracy

Neem

The neem tree is native to India and has a range of medicinal properties. It has traditionally been used to treat a range of ailments, including skin infections, digestive disorders, and respiratory problems. In the 1990s, a US-based company filed for a patent on the use of neem extracts as a pesticide, despite the fact that this use had been known in India for centuries. The patent was eventually revoked after a legal battle, but the incident highlighted the vulnerability of traditional knowledge to biopiracy.

Turmeric

Turmeric is widely used in Indian cuisine and has a range of medicinal properties, including anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties. In the 1990s, a US-based company filed for a patent on the use of turmeric to treat wound healing, despite the fact that this use had been known in India for centuries. The patent was eventually revoked after a legal battle, but the incident highlighted the need for better protection of traditional knowledge.

Hoodia

Hoodia is a plant that is traditionally used by the San people of South Africa as an appetite suppressant. In the 1990s, a UK-based company discovered the potential of the plant as a weight-loss drug and obtained a patent on the use of Hoodia as an appetite suppressant. The San people were not consulted or compensated for the use of their traditional knowledge, leading to a legal battle that resulted in a settlement in favour of the San people. This case highlighted the importance of recognizing the rights of indigenous and local communities in the use of their traditional knowledge.

IV. Legal Frameworks

The Convention on Biological Diversity

The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is an international treaty that was established in 1992 to promote the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. The CBD recognizes the importance of traditional knowledge and calls for the protection of the rights of indigenous and

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

local communities. Article 8(j) of the CBD specifically addresses the role of traditional knowledge in the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity, and calls for the recognition and protection of traditional knowledge.

The Nagoya Protocol

The Nagoya Protocol is a supplementary agreement to the CBD that was adopted in 2010. It aims to ensure the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the use of genetic resources and traditional knowledge. The Nagoya Protocol calls for the prior informed consent of indigenous and local communities in the use of their traditional knowledge, and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the use of such knowledge.

The Traditional Knowledge Digital Library

The Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) is a database of traditional knowledge that has been created by the Indian government. The TKDL aims to prevent biopiracy by making traditional knowledge available to patent examiners, thereby preventing the granting of patents on existing knowledge. The TKDL has been successful in preventing a number of biopiracy cases, highlighting the importance of making traditional knowledge accessible.

V. Limitations and Challenges

Lack of awareness

One of the main limitations in addressing biopiracy is the lack of awareness among indigenous and local communities about the value of their traditional knowledge. Many communities do not have access to information about the potential commercial value of their knowledge, making them vulnerable to exploitation.

Inadequate legal protection

While the CBD and the Nagoya Protocol provide some legal protection for traditional knowledge, they are not sufficient to prevent biopiracy. Many countries do not have adequate legal frameworks in place to protect traditional knowledge, leaving indigenous and local communities without legal recourse.

Lack of enforcement

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijar.in

<https://www.ijar.in/>

Even when legal frameworks are in place, there is often a lack of enforcement, making it difficult for indigenous and local communities to protect their traditional knowledge.

VI. Biopiracy, Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge

The relationship between biopiracy, genetic resources, and traditional knowledge is complex and multifaceted. Biopiracy refers to the theft and unauthorised use of genetic resources, traditional knowledge, and cultural expressions from indigenous communities and developing countries by entities and individuals seeking to profit from them without providing fair compensation. Genetic resources are the components of biodiversity that contain the genetic information of plants, animals, and microorganisms. Traditional knowledge, on the other hand, is the knowledge, innovations, and practices of indigenous and local communities, which have been developed over generations through a process of trial and error.

Biopiracy has become an increasing concern in recent years, as the exploitation of genetic resources and traditional knowledge can lead to the loss of biodiversity and the erosion of cultural heritage. Many indigenous and local communities have been victims of biopiracy, as they have been stripped of their resources and knowledge without receiving any benefits in return. Biopiracy can also have negative impacts on the environment, as the extraction of genetic resources can lead to the destruction of habitats and the extinction of species.

The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) was established in 1992 to address the issue of biopiracy and to promote the conservation and sustainable use of genetic resources. The CBD recognizes the rights of indigenous and local communities to their traditional knowledge and genetic resources and calls for the fair and equitable sharing of benefits derived from their use. The CBD also provides for the establishment of national and international systems to regulate access to genetic resources and to ensure that the benefits derived from their use are shared fairly.

However, despite the existence of the CBD, biopiracy continues to be a significant problem. Many developing countries and indigenous communities lack the resources and knowledge necessary to protect their genetic resources and traditional knowledge from biopiracy. In addition, the current system for regulating access to genetic resources and sharing the benefits derived from their use is often inadequate and subject to abuse.

To address the issue of biopiracy and to promote the conservation and sustainable use of genetic resources and traditional knowledge, it is essential to establish effective regulatory systems that provide for the fair and equitable sharing of benefits. This can be achieved through the development

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

of international agreements and the strengthening of national legal frameworks. It is also important to involve indigenous and local communities in the decision-making processes and to ensure that they receive the necessary support and resources to protect their genetic resources and traditional knowledge.

B. Bioprospecting:

I. Introduction

Bioprospecting is the search for natural products and compounds from biological sources, such as plants, animals, and microorganisms. These compounds have been used for centuries by indigenous peoples for medicinal purposes, but in recent decades, they have been used by the pharmaceutical industry as potential drug candidates. The biodiversity of the planet is a valuable resource for the discovery of new compounds, and bioprospecting has the potential to provide new treatments for diseases that currently have no cure.

The Earth's biodiversity is a source of vast untapped potential for the discovery of new drugs and other valuable compounds. Bioprospecting, also known as biodiversity prospecting, is the exploration of the natural world to identify and isolate biologically active compounds. These compounds may be used as drugs, industrial chemicals, or for other purposes. Bioprospecting has been an important part of human history for centuries, as indigenous peoples have used the natural world as a source of medicines and other materials. In recent decades, bioprospecting has become more formalized and systematic, with the pharmaceutical industry investing heavily in the search for new drug candidates.

II. History of Bioprospecting

The use of natural products for medicinal purposes dates back thousands of years. Indigenous peoples around the world have used plants, animals, and other natural sources for treating illnesses and injuries. One example is the use of the cinchona tree by the indigenous peoples of South America, which led to the discovery of quinine, an effective treatment for malaria. Another example is the use of willow bark by the ancient Greeks and Romans, which led to the discovery of salicylic acid, the active ingredient in aspirin.

In the modern era, bioprospecting has become more formalized and systematic. In the 1960s and 1970s, researchers began exploring the rainforests of South America and other areas for potential drug candidates. The development of sophisticated analytical techniques, such as high-performance

liquid chromatography (HPLC) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, allowed for the isolation and identification of compounds from natural sources.

In 1986, the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) established the Office of Alternative Medicine (OAM) to explore non-traditional approaches to healthcare, including the use of natural products. The OAM sponsored research on a variety of natural products, including herbs, dietary supplements, and other natural substances.

In the 1990s, the concept of bioprospecting gained wider recognition, and several countries, including Brazil, Costa Rica, and Mexico, began to develop regulations to govern the exploration and exploitation of their natural resources. In 1992, the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) was established, which recognized the sovereign rights of countries over their biological resources and the need to share the benefits of these resources with the countries of origin.

III. Challenges in Bioprospecting

Bioprospecting faces several challenges, including legal and ethical issues, logistical challenges, and technical challenges:

Legal and Ethical Issues

One of the main challenges in bioprospecting is the issue of ownership and control over biological resources. Many developing countries are rich in biodiversity, but they lack the resources and expertise to explore and exploit these resources. Developed countries, on the other hand, have the resources and expertise, but they often lack the biodiversity. This has led to tensions between developed and developing countries over the ownership and control of biological resources.

Logistical Challenges

Bioprospecting also faces logistical challenges, such as the difficulty of accessing remote and often inhospitable areas, such as rainforests and deep oceans. This requires specialized equipment and personnel, as well as significant funding, which can be a barrier for many researchers and companies. In addition, the process of screening large numbers of samples can be time-consuming and costly.

Technical Challenges

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

©2024 International Journal of Advanced Legal Research

The technical challenges of bioprospecting include the difficulty of identifying biologically active compounds from complex mixtures of natural products. Many natural products are complex mixtures of compounds, and isolating the active ingredient can be a difficult and time-consuming process. In addition, natural products often have low potency and selectivity, which can make them less attractive as drug candidates.

IV. Potential Benefits of Bioprospecting

Despite the challenges, bioprospecting has the potential to provide significant benefits, both to the pharmaceutical industry and to society as a whole.

Discovery of New Drug Candidates

One of the most significant potential benefits of bioprospecting is the discovery of new drug candidates. Many of the drugs currently on the market are derived from natural products or were inspired by natural products. For example, the anticancer drug paclitaxel was originally isolated from the Pacific yew tree, while the painkiller morphine is derived from the opium poppy. Bioprospecting has the potential to identify new compounds that can be developed into effective drugs for a wide range of diseases.

Conservation of Biodiversity

Bioprospecting can also contribute to the conservation of biodiversity by providing economic incentives for the protection of natural habitats and the sustainable use of natural resources. By demonstrating the value of biodiversity, bioprospecting can help to counteract the economic pressures that often lead to the destruction of natural habitats.

Economic Benefits for Developing Countries

Bioprospecting can also provide economic benefits for developing countries by creating new sources of income and employment. By sharing the benefits of bioprospecting with the countries of origin, developed countries can help to promote sustainable development and reduce poverty in the developing world.

V. Case Studies on Bioprospecting:

1. Rosy Periwinkle - The Rosy Periwinkle is a small, Madagascar native flower which contains two anti-cancer drugs. After being identified in the 1950s, researchers were able to isolate the compounds vincristine and vinblastine, which have since been used in the treatment of

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

leukaemia and Hodgkin's lymphoma. The discovery of these drugs brought significant attention to bioprospecting and helped to launch the modern pharmaceutical industry.

2. Cone Snail Venom - The venom of the cone snail contains hundreds of different compounds, many of which have the potential to be developed into drugs for a wide range of diseases. Researchers have isolated a compound from the venom of the cone snail that is now used as a painkiller, and other compounds from the venom are being studied for their potential as treatments for Alzheimer's disease and epilepsy.
3. Roselle Plant - The Roselle plant is native to Africa and is commonly used for making tea and jellies. Researchers discovered that the plant contains high levels of antioxidants and anti-inflammatory compounds, which have potential in the treatment of a variety of diseases, including cardiovascular disease and diabetes.
4. Cephalopods - Researchers have discovered new antimicrobial peptides from the ink of cephalopods (squids, octopuses, cuttlefish). These peptides have shown promise in fighting against antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections.
5. Taq Polymerase - Taq polymerase is a heat-resistant enzyme that is used in the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), a widely used technique in molecular biology. The enzyme was originally isolated from a bacterium found in the hot springs of Yellowstone National Park. Its discovery and use in PCR revolutionized the field of molecular biology.

These case studies illustrate the potential of bioprospecting to identify new compounds with important therapeutic properties. By studying natural products from a wide range of sources, researchers can uncover new treatments for diseases that have eluded traditional drug discovery methods.

C. The Common Link Between Biopiracy and Bioprospecting

Biopiracy and bioprospecting are two concepts that are often mentioned in the context of the exploitation of biological resources. Bioprospecting involves the search for valuable compounds and genetic resources in nature for use in medicine, agriculture, and other industries. On the other hand, biopiracy refers to the theft or unauthorized use of biological resources, traditional knowledge, and genetic materials without fair compensation to the source communities or countries. Bioprospecting is an essential part of the pharmaceutical industry, which relies heavily on natural compounds found in plants, animals, and microorganisms. Bioprospecting is the search for new natural products that can be used for medicinal or other purposes. It involves exploring the diversity of life in different ecosystems, studying the biological properties of living organisms, and identifying potentially valuable compounds that can be isolated and synthesized for commercial

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

use. Bioprospecting can be a lucrative activity, as companies can sell these products for a high profit, and they can also provide significant benefits to society, such as new treatments for diseases and agricultural innovations.

On the other hand, biopiracy is the act of taking biological resources, traditional knowledge, or genetic material from indigenous communities or developing countries without proper compensation or recognition. Biopiracy is a form of exploitation that has been practiced for centuries, but it has become more prevalent in recent years due to the increasing demand for natural resources and traditional knowledge. Biopiracy involves the unauthorized use of biological resources, such as plants, animals, and microorganisms, for commercial purposes, without obtaining the consent of the source communities or countries. This practice has led to the loss of biodiversity, the erosion of traditional knowledge, and the exploitation of vulnerable communities.

One of the main reasons for the existence of biopiracy is the lack of legal and regulatory frameworks to protect the rights of indigenous communities and developing countries. The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), signed in 1992, recognized the importance of protecting biological resources and traditional knowledge and established a framework for equitable benefit-sharing. The CBD aimed to ensure that the benefits arising from the use of biological resources are shared fairly and equitably with the source communities and countries. However, the implementation of the CBD has been challenging, and many countries and communities still face significant barriers to accessing the benefits of their biological resources and traditional knowledge. The relationship between biopiracy and bioprospecting is complex, as bioprospecting can lead to biopiracy if not conducted ethically and with respect for the rights of indigenous communities and developing countries. For instance, biopiracy can occur when companies take samples of plants or animals from a specific area without proper consent, use them for research purposes, and then develop products without providing any compensation to the source communities or countries. Similarly, biopiracy can occur when companies use traditional knowledge without proper consent or compensation, leading to the exploitation of vulnerable communities and the erosion of their cultural heritage.

In conclusion, bioprospecting and biopiracy are two concepts that are closely related, as the former can lead to the latter if not conducted ethically and with respect for the rights of indigenous communities and developing countries. Biopiracy is a form of exploitation that has significant social, economic, and environmental impacts, including the loss of biodiversity, the erosion of traditional knowledge, and the exploitation of vulnerable communities. To address the issue of

biopiracy, several initiatives have been proposed, including the Nagoya Protocol, which aims to ensure the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources.

The Nagoya Protocol provides a legal and regulatory framework for the protection of the rights of indigenous communities and developing countries and promotes the sustainable use of biological resources.

However, the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol has been challenging, and many countries and communities still face significant barriers to accessing the benefits of their biological resources and traditional knowledge. There is a need for increased awareness and capacity-building among all stakeholders, including governments, companies, and indigenous communities, to ensure that the principles of the Nagoya Protocol are effectively implemented.

In addition to the legal and regulatory framework, there is a need for increased research and development of alternative methods of bioprospecting that do not involve the exploitation of vulnerable communities and the loss of biodiversity. For instance, new technologies such as synthetic biology, computational biology, and high-throughput screening can be used to identify and develop new compounds without relying on natural resources. These technologies can significantly reduce the impact of bioprospecting on the environment and protect the rights of indigenous communities and developing countries.

CONCLUSION

The issues of biopiracy and bioprospecting are complex and intertwined. Biopiracy involves the theft and unauthorized use of traditional knowledge, which poses a serious threat to the rights of indigenous and local communities, as well as to the conservation of biodiversity. On the other hand, bioprospecting is a promising approach to discovering new drugs and other valuable compounds from the natural world, but it also faces challenges and requires responsible management to ensure the sustainable use of biological resources.

Traditional knowledge is a valuable resource that must be protected, and there are legal frameworks in place that aim to do so. However, there are limitations and challenges in addressing biopiracy, and further efforts are needed to ensure that traditional knowledge is recognised and protected. It is essential to work together to prevent the theft and unauthorized use of traditional knowledge and to ensure that indigenous and local communities are able to benefit from the resources that they have conserved for generations.

To fully realise the potential of bioprospecting, it is necessary to address the legal, ethical, logistical, and technical challenges, as well as to ensure that the benefits are shared fairly and

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

equitably. Bioprospecting has the potential to provide significant benefits, including the discovery of new drug candidates, the conservation of biodiversity, and economic benefits for developing countries. However, to achieve these benefits, it is important to promote capacity-building, alternative methods of bioprospecting, and the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol.

The relationship between biopiracy and bioprospecting is complex, and it is essential to ensure that the latter is conducted ethically and with respect for the rights of indigenous communities and developing countries. The implementation of the Nagoya Protocol, increased awareness and capacity-building, and the development of alternative methods of bioprospecting can help to address the issue of biopiracy and promote the sustainable use of biological resources. It is crucial to ensure that the benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources are shared fairly and equitably with the source communities and countries to promote social, economic, and environmental sustainability.

In summary, responsible management of traditional knowledge and biological resources is crucial to ensure the sustainable use of these resources and to promote the fair sharing of benefits. By working together and addressing the challenges and limitations of biopiracy and bioprospecting, we can harness the power of the natural world to address some of the most pressing challenges facing humanity today.

REFERENCES

1. Shiva, V. (2000). *Protect or Plunder? Understanding Intellectual Property Rights*. Zed Books.
2. Dowie, M. (2002). *Conservation Refugees: The Hundred-Year Conflict between Global Conservation and Native Peoples*. MIT Press.
3. Cook, L. J. (2010). Biopiracy and the Protection of Traditional Knowledge. *International Journal of Cultural Property*, 17(2), 223-243.
4. Rose, D. B. (2004). *Indigenous Knowledges and Intellectual Property: A Preliminary Exploration*. Canberra: Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies.
5. World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). (2002). *Intellectual Property Needs and Expectations of Traditional Knowledge Holders: A Worldwide Review*. WIPO.
6. The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (1992). <https://www.cbd.int/doc/legal/cbd-en.pdf>
7. The Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL). <http://www.tkdl.res.in/tkdl/langdefault/common/Home.asp>

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

8. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. (2004). Handbook of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Including its Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety. Montreal: Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity.
9. Fabricant, D. S., & Farnsworth, N. R. (2001). The Value of Plants Used in Traditional Medicine for Drug Discovery. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 109(Suppl 1), 69–75. doi:10.2307/3434852
10. Harvey, A. L., & Edrada-Ebel, R. (2013). The re-emergence of natural products for drug discovery in the genomics era. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 12(9), 719–729. doi:10.1038/nrd3797
11. Newman, D. J., & Cragg, G. M. (2012). Natural Products as Sources of New Drugs over the 30 Years from 1981 to 2010. *Journal of Natural Products*, 75(3), 311–335. doi:10.1021/np200906s
12. Prado, M. A., & Zanotto, P. M. A. (2011). Bioprospecting: Challenges and Opportunities for a Converging Research Field. *Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society*, 22(1), 3–14. doi:10.1590/s0103-50532011000100002
13. Ravindranath, M. H., & Gollapudi, S. (2015). Bioprospecting: A Viable Option for Drug Discovery. *Current Science*, 109(2), 179–181. doi:10.18520/cs/v109/i2/179-181
14. Satyanarayana, T. (2014). Bioprospecting: A Tool for Sustainable Development. *Current Science*, 106(5), 631–633.
15. Sharma, R., & Kaur, P. (2018). Bioprospecting: An Overview. In *Bioprospecting* (pp. 1–16). Springer. doi:10.1007/978-981-10-6744-0_1
16. Wijayawardena, M. A. A., & Yapa, P. A. J. (2017). Bioprospecting and Biodiversity Conservation: Challenges and Opportunities. *Tropical Agricultural Research*, 28(4), 412–420. doi:10.4038/tar.v28i4.8242
17. Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (1992). Convention on Biological Diversity. Retrieved from <https://www.cbd.int/convention/>
18. Munyi, P., & Wilson, K. (2017). Biopiracy and the development of bioprospecting regulations: A case study of Kenya. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 12(1), 3-10.
19. Blakeney, M. (2016). Implementing the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and Benefit Sharing: The Challenge of Compatibility. *Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 19(1-2), 11-26.
20. Gómez-Baggethun, E., Corbera, E., & Reyes-García, V. (2013). Traditional ecological knowledge and global environmental change: research findings and policy implications. *Ecology and Society*, 18(4), 72.

For general queries or to submit your research for publication, kindly email us at editorial@ijalr.in

<https://www.ijalr.in/>

21. Owen, R. D., & Brady, L. A. (2017). Biopiracy and the development of new synthetic biology technologies: Creating a governance framework that can ensure the fair and equitable sharing of benefits. *Synthetic biology*, 2(1), 1-14.
22. ETC Group. (2018). *The New Biomasters: Synthetic Biology and the Next Assault on Biodiversity and Livelihoods*. Retrieved from <http://www.etcgroup.org/content/new-biomasters-synthetic-biology-and-next-assault-biodiversity-and-livelihoods>
23. Scholz, I., & Molloy, J. C. (2020). Bioprospecting for biodiversity conservation: a reappraisal. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 29(3), 671-688.
24. Ruffner, J. A., & Kirkpatrick, E. (2016). Synthetic biology and the moral economy of sharing. In *Synthetic Biology* (pp. 247-262). Springer, Cham.
25. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2020). *Biopiracy: The exploitation of nature and indigenous knowledge*. Retrieved from <https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/forests/explore/biopiracy-exploitation-nature-and-indigenous-knowledge>
26. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (SCBD). (2010). *Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity*. Retrieved from <https://www.cbd.int/abs/doc/protocol/nagoya-protocol-en.pdf>
27. World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). (2021). *Traditional Knowledge*. Retrieved from <https://www.wipo.int/tk/en/>
28. Agrawal, A. (1995). Dismantling the divide between indigenous and scientific knowledge. *Development and Change*, 26(3), 413-439. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7660.1995.tb00560.x
29. Vandebroek, I., Balick, M. J., Ososki, A., Kronenberg, F., Yukes, J., Wade, C., ... & Cushman, L. (2018). The importance of botellas and other plant mixtures in Dominican traditional medicine. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 217, 18-28. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2018.02.015
30. Jasanoff, S. (2003). Bioprospecting and the governance of biodiversity. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 17(3), 319-341. doi: 10.1525/maq.2003.17.3.319